

Observation Summary Form (OSF) Formative Assessment Tool for Collaborating Teachers and Supervisors

Description of the Assessment and Its Use in the Program

What?						
The Obs	The Observation Summary is a formative assessment based on the Georgia Candidate Assessment on Performance					
Standar	ds (CAPS). Some standards/criteria ar	re repeated so that assesso	r can provide formative support throughout the			
lesson p	planning and implementation process.					
Who?						
This ass	sessment is to be completed by superv	risors and collaborating teac	chers using evidence from the pre-conference,			
the less	on plan, the observation, the post-conf	erence, and other sources.				
When?						
YCE I: /	A minimum of three observations					
YCE II/S	Student Teaching: A minimum of three	e observations at least one	of which must occur prior to the midterm CAPS			
evaluati	on.					
Candidate Name Clie	<u>ck to enter text.</u>	Supervisor Click to enter	<u>text.</u>			
School/Grade/Subje	ect <u>School/Grade/Subject</u>	Collaborating Teacher <u>C</u>	lick to enter text.			
Person completing	form 🗌 Cooperating Teacher	☐ Supervisor	☐ Candidate			
Check one:	Yearlong Clinical Practice I	☐ Yearlong Clinical Pra	ctice II/Student Teaching			
Check one:	Observation #1 Dbservation	#2 Dbservation #3	☐ Observation #4			
Date Click to enter te	xt.					



Part I Evaluation of Planning

Directions -

- If there is no evidence for an indicator, it should become an identified goal for which the candidate should demonstrate proficiency by the mid-term or end of the semester.
- Rate candidates using evidence from the lesson plan, in relation to the standard, not in relation to each other or to a first-year teacher. A rating of "4" is exemplary and should be given only when a candidate demonstrates expertise, leadership, and role model skills, and it should be strongly supported with cited evidence and documentation.

Planning: Standard 2: Instructional Planning - The teacher candidate plans using state and local school district curricula and Rating

			_		ocai school district curricula and	Rating
			nd data to address the ne			
Analyzes aDevelopsPlans instantPlans for i	and uses stuplans that and the contraction effection to the contraction the contraction to the contraction t	udent learning data to inforn re clear, logical, sequential, tively for content mastery, p neet the needs of all stude	and integrated across the curroacing, and transitions. ents.		eds.	Evidence Click here to list evidence used to evaluate this standard.
Part I: Standard 2	N/E = No Evidence	L-1: Ineffective The teacher candidate inadequately demonstrates understanding of curriculum, subject content, pedagogical knowledge and student needs, or does not use the knowledge in practice.	L-2: Needs Development The teacher candidate inconsistently demonstrates understanding of curriculum, subject content, pedagogical knowledge, and student needs, or lacks fluidity in using the knowledge in practice.	L-3: Proficient The teacher candidate consistently demonstrates an understanding of the curriculum, subject content, pedagogical knowledge, and the needs of students by providing relevant learning experiences.	L-4 Exemplary: The teacher candidate continually demonstrates extensive content and pedagogical knowledge, enriches the curriculum, and guides others in enriching the curriculum.	stariuaru.
					ports each student's learning by	Rating
 providing appropriate content and developing skills which address individual learning differences. Performance indicators: These are not inclusive and should not be used as a checklist. Differentiates instructional content, process, product, & learning environment to meet individual developmental needs. Provides remediation, enrichment, and acceleration to further student understanding of material. Uses diagnostic, formative, & summative assessment data to inform instructional modifications for individual students. Develops critical and creative thinking by providing activities at the appropriate level of challenge for students. 			Evidence Click here to list evidence used to evaluate this			
Part I: Standard 4	N/E = No Evidence	L-1: Ineffective The teacher candidate does not challenge students by providing appropriate content or by developing skills which address individual learning differences.	L-2: Needs Development The teacher candidate inconsistently challenges students by providing appropriate content or by developing skills which address individual learning differences.	L-3: Proficient The teacher candidate challenges students by providing appropriate content and by developing skills which address individual learning differences.	L-4 Exemplary: The teacher candidate optimizes students' opportunities to learn by engaging them in critical and creative thinking and challenging activities tailored to address individual learning needs.	standard.



Planning: Standard 5: Assessment Strategies - The teacher candidate systematically chooses a variety of diagnostic, formative, and Rating summative assessment strategies and instruments that are valid and appropriate for the content and student population. **Evidence** Performance indicators: These are not inclusive and should not be used as a checklist. Uses assessment techniques that are appropriate for the developmental level of students. Click here to Involves students in setting learning goals and monitoring their own progress. list evidence Uses formal and informal assessments for diagnostic, formative, and summative purposes. Uses grading practices that report final mastery in relationship to content goals and objectives. used to evaluate this Collaborates with others to develop common assessments, when appropriate. standard. L-1: Ineffective L-2: Needs Development L-3: Proficient L-4 Exemplary: Part I: The teacher candidate The teacher candidate The teacher candidate The teacher candidate continually Standard 5 chooses an inadequate inconsistently chooses a systematically and demonstrates **expertise** and leads N/E = Novariety of diagnostic, variety of diagnostic, consistently chooses a others to determine and develop a formative, and variety of strategies and instruments Evidence formative, and summative variety of diagnostic, summative assessment assessment strategies or formative, and summative that are valid and appropriate for the strategies or the the instruments are assessment strategies and content and student population and guides students to monitor and instruments are **not** sometimes not instruments that are valid appropriate for the appropriate for the content and appropriate for the reflect on their own academic content or student content and student or student population. progress. population. population. Planning: Standard 6: Assessment Uses - The teacher candidate systematically gathers, analyzes, and uses relevant data to measure Rating student progress, to inform instructional content and delivery methods, and to provide timely and constructive feedback to both students and parents. **Evidence** Performance indicators: These are not inclusive and should not be used as a checklist. Click here to Uses diagnostic assessment data to develop learning goals for students, to differentiate instruction, and to document learning. list evidence Plans a variety of formal and informal assessments aligned with instructional results to measure student mastery of learning objectives. used to Uses assessment tools for both formative and summative purposes to inform, quide, and adjust instruction. evaluate this Systematically analyzes and uses data to measure student progress, design appropriate interventions, and inform long-and short-term instructional decisions. standard. L-2: Needs Development Part I: L-1: Ineffective L-3: Proficient L-4 Exemplary: The teacher candidate The teacher candidate The teacher candidate The teacher candidate consistently Standard 6 inconsistently gathers. demonstrates expertise in using does not gather, systematically gathers. N/E = Noanalyze, or use relevant analyzes, or uses relevant analyzes, and uses relevant data to measure student progress data to measure student and leads others in the effective use Evidence data to measure student data to measure student of data to inform instructional progress, to inform progress, inconsistently progress, to inform instructional content uses data to inform instructional content and decisions. and delivery methods. instructional content and delivery methods, and to or to provide feedback delivery methods, or provide timely and in a constructive or inconsistently provides constructive feedback to both timely or constructive timely manner. students and parents. feedback.



			: Technology - The teacher d/online learning during fiel	candidate demonstrates tech	nology proficiency,	technolo	gy integrat	ion, and	Rating
				ements below to develop an ov	verall rating for Star	dard 11			
Par		N/E = No Evidence	L-1: Ineffective The candidate inadequately demonstrates the ability to use technology and integrate it into the teaching and learning process.	L-2: Needs Development The candidate inconsistently demonstrates the ability to use technology and integrate it into the teaching and learning process.	L-3: Proficient The candidate consist demonstrates the abuse technology and in it into the teaching a learning process.	tently ility to ntegrate	demonstra knowledge use techno integrate it	ate continually tes extensive and ability to logy and	Evidence Click here to list evidence used to evaluate this standard.
•	technolo Perfo Uses Troub	ogies to sup ormance Ir a variety of o oleshoots and	ciency - Candidate explores a port learning. ndicators at Level 3: devices, apps, software, and the d solves minor tech issues (or ac gy-related questions from learne	cesses support).	emerging	N/E	E	Evidence Click here to a used to evalu standard.	
	based terman promotion	aching, lear ormance Ir tates learner technology to community technology to essibility of dig technology to instruction to ages the use otes equitable.	rning, and assessment. ndicators at Level 3: use of technology for critical thin to facilitate communication and communication and communication and communication around leading technology in the classroom, experiences to technology.	king, problem solving, creativity, and obliaboration with learners, families, carner strengths, interests, and needs ogies for all learners. native assessments, track student pensures the safe, legal, and ethical units of	d authentic learning. colleagues and the s and ensures erformance data, and use of technology, and	N/E	E	Evidence Click here to a used to evalue standard.	
	for teach Perform Deve Faci Deliv digita Leve	ing and lea ance Indica elops or cura ilitates studer vers content al platform (Serages techn	rning in a blended/online learnators at Level 3: Ites online content and instruction It learning both face-to-face and It instruction through a learning It is choology, Google Classroom, et	n for students. online. management system (D2L, Canvas,	etc.) or other online	N/E	E	Evidence Click here t used to standard.	o list evidence evaluate this



Planning: Standard 13: Disciplinary Literacy: The teacher candidate demonstrates skill in integrating a range of content area literacy strategies into specific disciplinary subject areas, including academic vocabulary, writing, and interpretation of informational text.

Performance indicators: These are not inclusive and should not be used as a checklist.

- Integrates a range of content area literacy strategies into specific disciplinary subject areas.
- Explicitly teaches and integrates academic vocabulary in disciplinary subject areas.
- Explicitly teaches and integrates writing strategies into disciplinary subject areas.
- Explicitly teaches and integrates evidence-based strategies for interpreting informational text into disciplinary subject areas.

 Explicitly
 Explicitly
Part I:
Standard
13

L-1: Ineffective

N/E = No

Evidence

The teacher candidate demonstrates **limited** awareness of evidence-based literacy strategies including academic vocabulary, writing, and interpretation of informational text.

The teacher candidate does not integrate evidence-based literacy strategies into specific disciplinary areas.

L-2: Needs Development

The teacher candidate demonstrates an understanding of evidence-based literacy strategies including academic vocabulary, writing, and interpretation of informational text, but such strategies are inconsistently.

inconsistently

incorporated into specific disciplinary areas.

L-3: Proficient

The teacher candidate consistently incorporates evidence-based literacy strategies into specific disciplinary areas; strategies are effective and coherent.

The teacher candidate intentionally integrates academic vocabulary throughout lessons to promote student mastery and application.

The teacher candidate consistently incorporates a range of effective writing strategies to support student language development and enhance learning.

The teacher candidate consistently uses a wide range of effective strategies for interpreting informational text that are highly engaging for all students.

L-4 Exemplary:

The teacher candidate seamlessly and purposefully integrates a wide array of evidence-based literacy strategies into specific disciplinary areas. These strategies are not only highly effective but also demonstrate a deep coherence, significantly enhancing student understanding and application of the content. The teacher candidate intentionally and skillfully integrates academic vocabulary throughout every lesson. This purposeful integration promotes deep student mastery and application, enabling students to confidently use academic language in various contexts.

The teacher candidate consistently and effectively incorporates a range of writing strategies. These strategies are thoughtfully designed to support student language development, enhance learning, and encourage critical thinking and creativity in student writing.

The teacher candidate consistently employs a comprehensive and highly effective range of strategies for interpreting informational text. These strategies are highly engaging for all students, fostering an environment where students are motivated to analyze, interpret, and critically engage with informational texts.

Rating

Evidence

Click here to list evidence used to evaluate this standard.



Part II Evaluation of Implementation

Directions

- When completing Part II, please rate each standard and list evidence from the observation or Post Conference that was used to determine the rating.
- If evidence for an indicator is not observed, it should become an identified goal for which the candidate should demonstrate proficiency by the mid-term or end of the semester.
- Candidates should be scored in relation to the standard, not in relation to each other or to a first-year teacher. A rating of "4" is exemplary and should be given only when a candidate demonstrates expertise, leadership, and role model skills, and it should be strongly supported with cited evidence and documentation.

Instruction- Standard 1: Professional Knowledge - The teacher candidate demonstrates an understanding of the curriculum, subject Rating content, pedagogical knowledge, and the needs of students by providing relevant learning experiences. Performance indicators: These are not inclusive and should not be used as a checklist. Evidence Addresses appropriate curriculum standards and integrates key content elements Click here to Facilitates students' use of higher-level thinking skills in instruction. list evidence Demonstrates ability to link present content with past and future learning experiences, other subject areas, and real-world experiences and applications. used to Demonstrates accurate, deep, and current knowledge of subject matter. evaluate this Exhibits pedagogical skills relevant to the subject area(s) taught and best practice based on current research. standard. Bases instruction on goals that reflect high expectations for all students and a clear understanding of the curriculum. Displays an understanding of the intellectual, social, emotional, and physical development of the age group. L-3: Proficient Part II: L-1: Ineffective L-2: Needs Development L-4 Exemplary: The teacher candidate The teacher candidate The teacher candidate The teacher candidate Standard 1 inadequately inconsistently demonstrates consistently demonstrates an continually demonstrates N/E = Nodemonstrates understanding of curriculum, understanding of the extensive content and **Evidence** understanding of subject content, pedagogical curriculum, subject content, pedagogical knowledge, knowledge, and student pedagogical knowledge, and enriches the curriculum, and curriculum, subject content, pedagogical needs, or lacks fluidity in the needs of students by guides others in enriching the knowledge and student using the knowledge in providing relevant learning curriculum needs, or does not use practice. experiences. the knowledge in practice. Instruction- Standard 3: Instructional Strategies - The teacher candidate promotes learning by using research-based instructional Rating strategies relevant to the content to engage students in active learning and to facilitate the students' acquisition of key knowledge and skills. Performance indicators: These are not inclusive and should not be used as a checklist. Evidence Builds upon students' existing knowledge and skills. Click here to Reinforces learning goals consistently throughout the lesson. list evidence Uses a variety of research-based instructional strategies and resources. used to Effectively uses appropriate instructional technology to enhance student learning. evaluate this Communicates and presents material clearly, and checks for understanding. standard. Develops higher-order thinking through questioning and problem-solving activities. Engages students in authentic learning by providing real-life examples and interdisciplinary connections. L-1: Ineffective L-2: Needs Development Part I: L-3: Proficient L-4 Exemplary: The teacher candidate The teacher candidate The teacher candidate The teacher candidate Standard 3 inconsistently usesdoes not use researchpromotes student learning by optimizes students' N/F = Nobased instruction researched-based using research-based opportunities to learn by Evidence strategies, nor are the instructional strategies. The instructional strategies relevant engaging students in higherinstructional strategies strategies used are to the content area to engage order thinking and by identifying and effectively relevant to the content sometimes not appropriate students in active learning and for the content area or for the area. The strategies do to promote key skills. implementing the most not engage students in engaging students in active



		active learning or promote key skills.	learning or for promoting key skills.		appropriate research-based instructional strategies.	
opropriate c e rformance Differentia	ontent and de indicators: tes instruction	eveloping skills which addr These are not inclusive a al content, process, product, &	- The teacher candidate chaless individual learning different and should not be used as a learning environment to meet interaction and to accommodate learning environment with the saction and the saction an	a checklist. ndividual developmental needs.	lent's learning by providing	Rating Evidence Click here to
Demonstra		ing expectations for all studer L-1: Ineffective	L-2: Needs Development	L-3: Proficient	L-4 Exemplary:	list evidence used to evaluate this
tandard 4	N/E = No Evidence	The teacher candidate does not challenge students by providing appropriate content or by developing skills which address individual learning differences.	The teacher candidate inconsistently challenges students by providing appropriate content or by developing skills which address individual learning differences.	The teacher candidate challenges students by providing appropriate content and by developing skills which address individual learning differences.	The teacher candidate optimizes students' opportunities to learn by engaging them in critical and creative thinking and challenging activities tailored to address individual learning needs.	standard.
mmative as e <mark>rformance</mark>	ssessment st e <i>indicators:</i>	rategies and instruments the These are not inclusive				Rating Evidence
			ual student needs and progress.			Click here to
art II: tandard 5	N/E = No Evidence	L-1: Ineffective The teacher candidate chooses an inadequate variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment strategies or the instruments are not appropriate for the content or student population.	L-2: Needs Development The teacher candidate inconsistently chooses a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment strategies or the instruments are sometimes not appropriate for the content or student population.	L-3: Proficient The teacher candidate systematically and consistently chooses a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment strategies and instruments that are valid and appropriate for the content and student population.	L-4 Exemplary: The teacher candidate continually demonstrates expertise and leads others to determine and develop a variety of strategies and instruments that are valid and appropriate for the content and student population and guides students to monitor and reflect on their own academic progress.	used to evaluate this standard.



parents. <mark>Performanc</mark> e	e indicators:	These are not inclusive a	and should not be used as a	a checklist.		<u>Evidence</u>
Provides	constructive	and frequent feedback to s	tudents on their progress tow	rard their learning goals.		Click here to
			metacognitive strategies in s			list evidence
Part II: Standard 6	N/E = No Evidence	L-1: Ineffective The teacher candidate does not gather, analyze, or use relevant data to measure student progress, to inform instructional content and delivery methods, or to provide feedback in a constructive or timely manner.	L-2: Needs Development The teacher candidate inconsistently gathers, analyzes, or uses relevant data to measure student progress, inconsistently uses data to inform instructional content and delivery methods, or inconsistently provides timely or constructive feedback.	L-3: Proficient The teacher candidate systematically gathers, analyzes, and uses relevant data to measure student progress, to inform instructional content and delivery methods, and to provide timely and constructive feedback to both students and parents.	L-4 Exemplary: The teacher candidate consistently demonstrates expertise in using data to measure student progress and leads others in the effective use of data to inform instructional decisions.	used to evaluate thi standard.
nstruction -	Standard 7			te provides a well-managed, sa	afe, and orderly environment	Rating
Responds	s to disruptions	in a timely, appropriate mann			wi-akah.	Evidence
Responds Establishe Models ca Promotes Promotes Actively li Actively li	s to disruptions es clear expect aring, fairness, a climate of tr respect for an stens and pays stens and pays	in a timely, appropriate mann rations for classroom rules, rourespect, and enthusiasm for least and teamwork within the class attention to students' diversite attention to students' needs attention to students' needs a	er. utines, and procedures and enforearning. lassroom. diversity, including, but not limitery, including, bat not land to, ra	rces them consistently and approp d to, race, color, religion, sex, natio ce, color, religion, sex, national ori	onal origin, or diversity.	Click here to list evidence used to
 Responds Establishe Models ca Promotes Promotes Actively li Arranges 	s to disruptions es clear expect aring, fairness, a climate of tr respect for an stens and pays stens and pays	in a timely, appropriate mann rations for classroom rules, rourespect, and enthusiasm for leust and teamwork within the cld understanding of students' of attention to students' needs a materials and resources to fac-	er. utines, and procedures and enforearning. lassroom. diversity, including, but not limiter y, including, but not limiter and responses. cilitate group and individual activ	rces them consistently and approped to, race, color, religion, sex, national ori	onal origin, or diversity. gin, or diversity.	Click here to list evidence used to evaluate this
Responds Establishe Models ca Promotes Promotes Actively li Actively li	s to disruptions es clear expect aring, fairness, a climate of tr respect for an stens and pays stens and pays	in a timely, appropriate mann rations for classroom rules, rourespect, and enthusiasm for least and teamwork within the class attention to students' diversite attention to students' needs attention to students' needs a	er. utines, and procedures and enforearning. lassroom. diversity, including, but not limitery, including, bat not land to, ra	rces them consistently and approp d to, race, color, religion, sex, natio ce, color, religion, sex, national ori	onal origin, or diversity.	Click here to list evidence used to evaluate this
Responds Establishe Models ca Promotes Promotes Actively li Actively li Arranges Part II:	s to disruptions es clear expectaring, fairness, a climate of trespect for an stens and pays the classroom N/E = No Evidence	in a timely, appropriate manniations for classroom rules, rourespect, and enthusiasm for least and teamwork within the class and teamwork within the class attention to students' diversity attention to students' needs a materials and resources to face L-1: Ineffective The teacher candidate inadequately addresses student behavior, displays a negative attitude toward students, ignores safety standards, or does not otherwise provide an orderly environment that is conducive to learning or encourages respect for all.	er. utines, and procedures and enforcearning. lassroom. diversity, including, but not limited y, including, but not limited to, ray and responses. cilitate group and individual active. L-2: Needs Development The teacher candidate inconsistently provides a well-managed, safe, and orderly environment that is conducive to learning and encourages respect for all.	rces them consistently and approp	L-4 Exemplary: The teacher candidate creates a dynamic and vibrant environment where students feel physically, intellectually, and emotionally safe, and are encouraged to take ownership of their own learning behavior.	Click here to list evidence used to evaluate thi



Provides a	academic rigor	minimize loss of instructional , encourages critical and creat explore new ideas and take ac	ive thinking, and pushes student	s to achieve goals.		Click here to list evidence used to
Part II: Standard 8	N/E = No Evidence	L-1: Ineffective The teacher candidate does not provide a student-centered, academic environment in which teaching and learning occur at high levels, or where students are self-directed learners.	L-2: Needs Development The teacher candidate inconsistently provides a student-centered environment in which teaching and learning occur at high levels or where students are self-directed.	L-3: Proficient The teacher candidate creates a student-centered, academic environment in which teaching and learning occur at high levels and students are self- directed learners.	L-4 Exemplary: The teacher candidate creates an academic learning environment where students are regularly encouraged to tackle challenging material and academic risks.	evaluate this standard.
				nmitment to professional ethics	and the school's mission,	<u>Rating</u>
			ipport student learning, and ca and should not be used as a			
		emeanor and behavior.	and Should hot be used as a	i Checklist.		Evidence
Part II: Standard 9	N/E = No Evidence	L-1: Ineffective The teacher candidate shows a disregard toward professional ethics or the school's mission or rarely takes advantage of professional grow opportunities.	L-2: Needs Development The teacher candidate inconsistently supports the school's mission or seldom participants in professional growth opportunities.	L-3: Proficient The teacher candidate demonstrates a commitment to professional ethics and the school's mission, participates in professional growth opportunities, and contributes to the profession.	L-4 Exemplary: The teacher candidate continually engages in a high level of professional growth and application of skills and contributes to the development of others and the well-being of the school.	Click here to list evidence used to evaluate this standard.
			acher candidate communicat s that enhance student learni	es effectively with students, pa ng.	rents or guardians, district	Rating
 Uses verb Uses pred Explains d In partner collaborat Listens ar 	pal and non-vel cise language, directions, cond ship with the cl tive and approa nd responds wi	bal communication techniques correct vocabulary, and gramr cepts, and lesson content to st assroom teacher, creates a cl achable style	mar, and appropriate forms of ora rudents in a logical, sequential, a imate of openness for parents, s ry, and understanding to the voic	nd promote learning in the classrood al and written communication. nd age-appropriate manner. tudents, and other school professions.		Evidence Click here to list evidence used to evaluate this standard.
Part II: Standard 10	N/E = No Evidence	L-1: Ineffective The teacher candidate inadequately communicates with students, parents, or guardians, district and school personnel, or other stakeholders by poorly acknowledging concerns responding to inquiries, or encouraging involvement.	L-2: Needs Development The teacher candidate inconsistently communicates with students, parents or guardians, district and school personnel or other stakeholders or communicates in ways that only partially enhance student learning,	L-3: Proficient The teacher candidate communicates effectively with students, parents or guardians, district and school personnel, and other stakeholders in ways that enhance student learning.	L-4 Exemplary: The teacher candidate uses optimal communication techniques in a given situation to proactively inform, network, and collaborate with others to enhance student learning.	



Instruction- Standard 11: Technology - The teacher candidate demonstrates technology proficiency, technology integration, and competency in blended/online learning during field and clinical experiences.

Use the Performance Standards from the three elements below to develop an overall rating for Standard 11

<u>Rating</u>

Part II: Standard 11

N/E = No Evidence

The candidate presents no evidence of the ability to use technology or integrate it into the teaching and learning process. This is outside of the control of the candidate.

L-1: Ineffective The candidate inadequately

demonstrates the ability to use technology and integrate it into the teaching and learning process.
Candidate chooses to rarely or never use technology for teaching and rarely or never facilitate student use of technology for learning.

When using technology, candidate struggles with how to use it, how to integrate it, how to manage it, and how to answer student questions about it.

L-2: Needs Development The candidate

inconsistently demonstrates the ability to use technology and integrate it into the teaching and learning process.

At times, candidate struggles with troubleshooting technology issues or

Candidate has students mainly using technology for low-level cognitive tasks – knowledge and comprehension – gathering facts on the Internet, drill and practice, etc.

answering student questions

about technology.

Candidate typically has students using the same technology in the same way to do the same thing or to create the same product to demonstrate their learning (no differentiation or personalization to support diverse learners).

At times, candidate struggles with managing the technology and students are often off task and using technology in ways unrelated to learning.

Candidate does not always ensure equitable access to technology in the classroom—not every student gets to use technology for learning in the classroom.

L-3: Proficient

The candidate <u>consistently</u> demonstrates the ability to use technology and integrate it into the teaching and learning process. (Use the performance indicators from the three standards 11A, 11B, and 11C below).

L-4: Exemplary:

The candidate <u>continually</u> demonstrates extensive knowledge and ability to use technology and integrate it into the teaching and learning process.

Candidate provides expertise and leadership to other teachers and students in developing technology proficiency.

Candidate provides expertise and leadership to other teachers in technology integration or blended/online learning.

Candidate explores and uses emerging technologies with students such as Augmented Reality/Virtual Reality, robotics, coding etc...

Candidate has students engaging in learning experiences not possible without the use of technology.

Candidate promotes creativity and innovation by engaging students in collaborative, hands-on makerspaces to make, explore, create, invent, and learn using high- and lowtech resources.

Candidate has students using technology to communicate and collaborate globally with other learners, experts, etc.

Evidence

Click here to list evidence used to evaluate this standard.



•	 11A Technology Proficiency - Candidate explores and uses a variety of current and emerging technologies to support learning. Performance Indicators at Level 3: Uses a variety of devices, apps, software, and the Internet in the classroom. Troubleshoots and solves minor tech issues (or accesses support). Answers technology-related questions from learners in the classroom. 	N/E □	ш 🗆	Evidence Click here to list evidence used to evaluate this standard.
•	 11B Technology Integration - Candidate demonstrates the ability to integrate technology into standards-based teaching, learning, and assessment. Performance Indicators at Level 3: Facilitates learner use of technology for critical thinking, problem solving, creativity, and authentic learning. Uses technology to facilitate communication and collaboration with learners, families, colleagues and the larger community Uses technology to personalize learning around learner strengths, interests, and needs and ensures accessibility of digital content and learning technologies for all learners. Uses technology to implement formative and summative assessments, track student performance data, and adjust instruction to meet learner needs. Manages the use of technology in the classroom, ensures the safe, legal, and ethical use of technology, and promotes equitable access to technology. Builds an online professional learning network (PLN) with other educators through social media (Twitter, Facebook, etc.). 	N/E □	E	Evidence Click here to list evidence used to evaluate this standard.
•	 11C Blended/Online Learning - Candidate demonstrates the specialized knowledge and skills necessary for teaching and learning in a blended/online learning environment. Performance Indicators at Level 3: Develops or curates online content and instruction for students. Facilitates student learning both face-to-face and online. Delivers content or instruction through a learning management system (D2L, Canvas, etc.) or other online digital platform (Schoology, Google Classroom, etc.). Leverages technology tools so students can learn anywhere, anytime and at any pace or mode that fits their strengths, interests, and needs. 	N/E □	E	Evidence Click here to list evidence used to evaluate this standard.



Instruction: Standard 12: Literacy– Support Services and Intervention - The teacher candidate systematically gathers, analyzes, and uses relevant data to measure student progress, to inform instructional content and delivery methods, and to provide timely and constructive feedback to both students and parents.

Performance indicators: These are not inclusive and should not be used as a checklist.

- Uses diagnostic assessment data to develop learning goals for students, to differentiate instruction, and to document learning.
- Plans a variety of formal and informal assessments aligned with instructional results to measure student mastery of learning objectives.
- Uses assessment tools for both formative and summative purposes to inform, guide, and adjust instruction.
- Systematically analyzes and uses data to measure student progress, design appropriate interventions, and inform long-and short-term instructional
 decisions

 Systematic decisions. 	cally analyzes
Part II: Standard 12	N/E = No
	Evidence

L-1: Ineffective

The teacher candidate does not demonstrate an awareness of who the student support service providers are for their school and district. The candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of a teambased approach in leveraging necessary resources and support for all learners.

L-2: Needs Development

The teacher candidate is aware of who the student support service providers are for their school and district but **inconsistently** demonstrates an understanding of how to collaborate with student support services to leverage the available resources to provide support for all learners.

L-3: Proficient

The teacher candidate consistently demonstrates familiarity with and an understanding of how to collaborate with student support service providers to ensure that students receive appropriate services.

The teacher candidate is aware of who the student support service providers are for their school and district. Support services may include reading specialists, writing tutors, or special education services. The candidate consistently seeks out and collaborates with support service providers to inform instruction and intervention.

When participating in grade level team meetings, MTSS team meetings, and IEP meetings with stakeholders, candidates consistently, appropriately, and ethically share information about the student's strengths and weaknesses, as well as explains data from universal screening, intervention, and ongoing progress monitoring.

Rating

Evidence

Click here to list evidence used to evaluate this standard.

L-4 Exemplary:

The teacher candidate has a comprehensive understanding of all support services and their roles, including specific contributions. Monitoring of student progress is systematic, with proactive steps taken based on findings.

The teacher candidate consistently, appropriately, and ethically shares comprehensive and pertinent information to foster a collaborative environment for optimal student support.

The teacher candidate consistently provides timely, thorough, and actionable feedback, and actively engages in refining interventions based on feedback.



Instruction: Standard 13: Disciplinary Literacy: The teacher candidate demonstrates skill in integrating a range of content area literacy strategies into specific disciplinary subject areas, including academic vocabulary, writing, and interpretation of informational text.

Performance indicators: These are not inclusive and should not be used as a checklist.

Integrates a range of content area literacy strategies into specific disciplinary subject areas.

	,	pulary in disciplinary subject are	•		
	•				
				v subject areas	
Explicitly teaches and i	integrates writing strategie	s into disciplinary subject areas strategies for interpreting infor L-2: Needs Development The teacher candidate demonstrates an understanding of evidence-based literacy strategies including academic vocabulary, writing, and interpretation of informational text, but such strategies are inconsistently incorporated into specific disciplinary areas.	S.	L-4 Exemplary: The teacher candidate seamlessly and purposefully integrates a wide array of evidence-based literacy strategies into specific disciplinary areas. These strategies are not only highly effective but also demonstrate a deep coherence, significantly enhancing student understanding and application of the content. The teacher candidate intentionally and skillfully integrates academic vocabulary throughout every lesson. This purposeful integration promotes deep student mastery and application, enabling students to confidently use academic language in various contexts. The teacher candidate consistently and effectively incorporates a range of writing strategies. These strategies are thoughtfully designed to support student language development, enhance learning, and encourage critical thinking and creativity in student writing. The teacher candidate consistently employs a	Click here to list evidence used to evaluate this standard.
			consistently uses a wide range of effective strategies for interpreting informational text that are highly engaging for all	consistently and effectively incorporates a range of writing strategies. These strategies are thoughtfully designed to support student language development, enhance learning, and encourage critical thinking and creativity in student writing. The teacher candidate	

Evidence



			These strategies are highly engaging for all students, fostering an environment where students are motivated to analyze, interpret, and critically engage with informational texts.
--	--	--	---

Part II Assessor's Evidence/Notes from Observation and Post-Conference Reflection

Directions

• Type directly in this section of this form or, if preferred, use other pages for handwritten notes from the observation. Please list observed evidence.

Click to enter text here.

ONCE THIS DOCUMENT IS COMPLETE:

- Collaborating Teachers will email the completed Observation Summary Tool to the supervisor
- Supervisors will enter results into Chalk and Wire and attached the completed document as "annotated documents."